DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

| 1. | BillNumber HB2097 | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | HouseofOr | igin Introduced | Substitute | Engrossed | | | |
| | SecondHou | se InC ommittee | Substitute | Enrolled | | | |
| 2. | Patron | McQuigg | | | | | |
| 3.0 | Committee | GeneralLaws | | | | | |
| 4. | Title | Administrationofgove | ernment;long -terr | nplanning:RoadmapforVirginia | | | |

5. Summary/Purpose:

Establisheslong -term, results based planning for stategovernment through the implementationofthe"RoadmapforVirginia'sFuture"processthatincludes:(i)developing asetofguidingprinciplesthatarereflectiveofpublicsentimentandrelevantto critical decision-making,(ii)establishingalong -termvisionfortheCommonwealth,(iii)conducting asituationanalysesofcorestateservicecategories,(iv)settinglong -termobjectivesforstate services,(v)aligningstateservicestothelong -term objectives, (vi) instituting a planning and performancemanagementsystemconsistingofstrategicplanning,performance measurement, program evaluation, and performance budgeting, and (vii) performing plan adjustmentsbasedonpublicinputandevaluationo ftheresultsoftheRoadmap.Thebill alsoestablishestheCouncilonVirginia'sFuturetoadvisetheGovernorandtheGeneral Assembly on the implementation of the Roadmap for Virginia's Future process and repeals thePerformanceManagementAdvisoryCom mittee.

Inaddition, the billest ablishes the Government Performance and Results Act which requires each state agency to develop a strategic plan and provides for the Governor to submit, with the Budget Bill, strategic plan information and performance - measurement results for each agency and for the Appropriations Committee of the House of Delegates and the Finance Committee of the Senate to include agency strategic plan information and performance - measurement results when considering the budget. The strat egic plans would be phased in overaperiod of three years be ginning July 1,2003.

UnderboththeHouseandSenatesubstitutes,thebillhasasunsetdateofJuly1,2008.

The Senate substitute increases the member ship of the Council to 19, adds the Governor, the Speaker of the House and the President protemof the Senate as members, and designates the Governor as chairman of the Council.

6. **FiscalImpactEstimates:** SeeNo.8below.

7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:

Yes.ForDPBtoprovidestaffsuppor tfortheCouncil,andtoestablishappropriationsforthe Council,whichwouldbeanewagency.BoththeHouseandSenatesubstitutesauthorizethe employmentofanExecutiveDirectorfortheCouncil,whichwouldbeanadditionalcost,if implemented.

8. Fiscalimplications:

The bill will have a direct fiscal impact in two areas:

- CouncilonVirginia'sFuture
- DepartmentofPlanningandBudget

Inaddition, there will be indirect costs on state agencies and institutions of higher education.

CouncilonVirginia'sFuture .

The proposale stablishes the Council and requires the Council to meet at least four times per year. Under the House substitute, the Council consists of 17 members, 10 of which are membersofthelegislativebranchor civiliansappointedbythelegislativebranch. The Senate substituteprovidesfor19members,10ofwhicharemembersofthelegislativebranchor civiliansappointedbythelegislativebranch.UndertheSenatesubstitute,thecostforthefour meetingsisestimatedtobeatleast\$10,932.Thisestimateassumesfourone -daymeetings. However, some of the duties of the Council could be more time -consuming and require additional meetings that are not included in this estimate. Two examples of the propos edduties that could be time - consuming are the requirements to complete a situational analysis on all core serviceareasofstategovernmentandtomonitorimplementationoftheperformance managementsystemacrossstategovernment. Thus, the estimates of theCouncil'scostsare conservative. Bywayof comparison, the budget for the State Competition Council, a Council with 15 members, is \$28,000 excluding the full time staff. In the case of the Councilon Virginia's Future, full timest affing will be prov idedbyDPB.

AnnualCostEstimate(fourone -daymeetingsoftheCouncil)

| Travel | \$2.432 | 32 Assumesanaverageof100milesperpersonat32.5 | | |
|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Tiuvei | Ψ2,132 | centsand4meetings | | |
| Expenses \$1,90 | | Lunchat\$25for4meetings | | |
| Compensation | \$2,600 | Assumes13membersat\$50per dayfor4meetings. | | |
| | | Nocompensation for cabinet members. | | |
| Roomrental | \$4,000 | Estimateofrentalspaceforfourpublicmeetings | | |
| Total | \$10,932 | | | |

If an Executive Director of the Council is employed, as authorized in both the House and Senate substitutes, that will be an additional cost.

Department of Planning and Budget (DPB)

Aspartofitsbudgetreductionplans,DPB'spositionlevelwillbereducedby10FTEsinFY 2004. Itisanticipated that three layoffs will occur. In order to effectively execute its responsibilities under this legislation, it is anticipated that DPB would require two additional staff and funding as indicated below:

| Salariesand | \$140,500 | AssumestwoadditionalFTEswithabasesalaryof | |
|---------------|-----------|---|--|
| benefits | | \$53,278(mid -band5) | |
| Website | \$15,000 | AdditionalcostsincurredbytheVirginiaInformation | |
| development | | TechnologyAgencyfortheenhancementand | |
| | | operationofawebsiteforthedisseminationof | |
| | | information(one -timecost) | |
| Printingand | \$15,000 | Fortheexpansion oftheBudgetBillandtheannual | |
| postage | | printingoftheCouncil'sscorecardandexecutive | |
| | | summary | |
| Travel \$2 | | Assumesanaverageof100milesperpersonat32.5 | |
| | | centsandfourmeetings | |
| TotalDPBcosts | \$170,760 | | |

StateAgencies .

The substitute billretains most of the details with regard to a gency strategic planning. It does, however, phase in the planning over a three vear period.

Inordertomoreaccuratelyassessthefiscalimpactofthebill,DPBsurveyed15agencies.Of the15agenciessurveyed,10, ortwo -thirds,indicatedthattheproposalwouldhaveadirectfiscal impactontheiragencies. Thesefiscalimpactsrangedfromhiringparttimestaffinorderto supplementexistingstafftoaddingadditionalfulltimepositions. One agencymentioned that due to budget reductions it had laid offast aff personused for strategic planning and would need to replace this individual.

Basedonthesurvey, there will be additional requirements placed on agencies, which will result in some inherent costs. The surveyed agencies reported an average cost ranging from a low of \$93,000 peragency to a high of \$126,000 peragency. The more complex the agency, the more likely there was a fiscal impact. (In its impacts a ted an average cost of \$8,500 peragency.) Thus, it is obvious that the rewill be inherent costs for some agencies which could be significant.

Therealquestionishowmuchofsuchcostswillbebornebytheagenciesasopportunitycostsin whichtheyre directstafftimeandresourcesfromotheractivitiestomeettherequirementsofthe billversusactualbudgetarycostsinwhichadditionalfundingisprovided. Giventhecurrent budgetarysituation, DPB believesthatitisunlikelythatagencieswillre ceivemuch, ifany, additionaldirectappropriationsforthispurpose. Therefore, DPB assumesthatmostofthe inherentcostswillcomeintheformofopportunitycoststotheagencies. However, insome agencies, stafftimeandresources redirected tos trategic planning willcome from other priority service activities such as health care to the indigentor lawen forcement activities, etc. Therefore, it is very likely that in the future requests will be made for additional dollars and staffin these priority activities to back fill staffred irected to strategic planning.

Page4

Inthefinalanalysis, it is hard to determine the fiscal budget ary impact to state agencies but the evidence suggests that the rewill be costs, regardless of whether the evarefunded in the current budget.

9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected:

Allstateagencies.

10. Technical amendment necessary:

See reference in Other Comments below in regard to the effective date of the bill.

11. Othercomment s:

The Councilises tablished as an advisory council within the executive branch of state government. Under the House substitute, ten of these venteen members are legislative members, with the remaining seven appointed by the Governor. The Senate substitute increases the membership to 19, ten of which are legislative members. The Senate substitute adds the Governor, Speaker and President protem to the membership. Also, an executive agency, the Department of Planning and Budget, is to provide staffs upport to the Council. Three legislative agencies: Joint Legislative Review and Audit Commission, and the staffs of the House Appropriations and Senate Finance Committees are to provide additional assistance as needed.

Theproposedbillwillbeeffective July1,2003. Agencies are develops trategic plans over a 3-year period beginning July1,2003, and ending July1,2006, with one -third of state agencies to do so each year. Agencies are to provide annual strategic plans by December 1 to the Councilon the Virginia's Future. The December 1 date would be to olate for the strategic plans to have impact on Executive Budget development, since the Governor's budget recommendations are due on December 20 of each year.

TheproposedbillrequiresCouncilto submit,beginningonNovember1,2004,andannually thereafter,abalancedaccountabilityscorecardtotheGeneralAssemblyandtheGovernor.It isunclearhowthefirstscorecardwillbeabletoaddressstatewideissueswithareviewofall agencystrate gicplans.Thebillalsolacksadefinitionof"balancedaccountability scorecard."

Section 2.2-5510 requires each agency to post its strategic plan on the Internet. It is unclear if the public post ingofthe plan is before final budget decisions arem adeands ubmitted in the Governor's budget on December 20. Also, the budget decision process and subsequent General Assembly action could impact, alter or change the agency's proposed strategic plan.

Date: 2/14/03jbc

DocumentG: \03BillsFIS \HB2097S1.Doc JimCook

cc:SecretaryofFinance